

LB≡BW

To the point!

Cross-Asset- and Strategy-Research

"Mar-a-Lago Accord": A phantom menace

Moritz Kraemer -- Chief Economist LBBWResearch@LBBW.de

March 21, 2025

Unorthodox and highly dangerous ideas to weaken the dollar

Anyone who even sporadically consumes the news knows that U.S. President Donald Trump views the U.S. trade deficits as unmistakable signs that "foreign nations" are exploiting hardworking American families. Instead, foreign companies should produce directly within the States, creating prosperity and jobs locally. However, with the U.S. unemployment rate at a low of around 4%, it is unclear where the workforce will come from to produce an additional \$1.2 trillion worth of goods. That's what the U.S. trade deficit was in 2024.

For Trump, trade is a zero-sum game

The political pitfall of tariffs: Rising prices

Thus far, Trump's tariff policy has been his weapon of choice to combat trade deficits. Unfortunately, tariffs fuel domestic inflation. U.S. consumer <u>inflation expectations</u> one year ahead rose to 4.9% in March. When Trump was elected in November 2024, they were at 2.6%. Long-term inflation expectations are at their highest in 30 years. Trump's electoral victory was to a large degree due to voter dissatisfaction with rising living costs, for which they blamed the Biden administration. Sooner or later, rising prices would be reflected in the polls. Therefore, tariffs can come with a high political and psychological cost for the poll-obsessed president.

Troublesome inflation

Top 3 Foreign holders of US Treasuries (billion USD, January 2025)



Source: US Treasury

A dubious plan to weaken the dollar

In Washington, and also on Wall Street, the idea is circulating that the U.S. could orchestrate a concerted effort to weaken the dollar. This would make imports less attractive while making U.S. exports more competitive. This plan is being dubbed the "Mar-a-Lago Accord", in reference to the Plaza Accord of 1985: back then, following a rapid appreciation of the US currency, several

international central banks, at the initiative of the Reagan administration, weakened the dollar by selling US Treasury bonds from their reserves. Such an approach today would have unpleasant consequences: The inevitably rising interest rates on Treasuries would be extremely inconvenient given Washington's gigantic debt burden and a budget deficit of around 7% of GDP.

Therefore, economists close to Trump, like Stephen Miran, the Chairman of Trumps Council of Economic Advisers, propose a more drastic model: Foreign creditors should convert their existing U.S. Treasury bonds into new bonds with long maturities (up to 100 years!) and low or no interest rates. Washington could more cheaply fund its public debt. This plan would also result in fewer bonds maturing annually, and creditors would not demand dollars for reinvestment. The dollar would lose strength. Of course, no creditor would voluntarily agree to such an unfavorable exchange. Unless threatened with tariffs or the withdrawal of military protection. Such coercion is part and parcel of the plan.

Enacting such a plan would be a surefire way to undermine confidence in the dollar. In all former financial crises, U.S. Treasury bonds have been the safe haven. This Mar-a-Lago Accord would mine the harbour. A global-scale financial crisis would ensue.

What really helps to weaken the dollar

There are two proven methods to weaken a currency. First, you can lower interest rates, but given inflation is perking up, this resembles Erdogan-style monetary policy. Not recommended for imitation. Or you can consolidate the budget, as this reduces overall economic demand and with it the import pull. But that would be politically unpopular and thus also falls by the wayside. Therefore, it is unlikely but not impossible that Trump might consider the highly dangerous idea of forced currency manipulation.

Disclaimer:

This publication is addressed exclusively at recipients in the EU, Switzerland, Liechtenstein and the United Kingdom. This report is not being distributed by LBBW to any person in the United States and LBBW does not intend to solicit any person in the United States.

LBBW is under the supervision of the European Central Bank (ECB), Sonnemannstraße 22, 60314 Frankfurt/Main (Germany) and the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin), Graurheindorfer Str. 108, 53117 Bonn (Germany) / Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt/Main (Germany).

This publication is based on generally available sources which we are not able to verify but which we believe to be reliable. Nevertheless, we assume no liability for the accuracy and completeness of this publication. It conveys our non-binding opinion of the market and the products at the time of the editorial deadline, irrespective of any own holdings in these products. This publication does not replace individual advice. It serves only for informational purposes and should not be seen as an offer or request for a purchase or sale. For additional, more timely in-formation on concrete investment options and for individual investment advice, please contact your investment advisor.

We retain the right to change the opinions expressed herein at any time and without prior notice. Moreover, we retain the right not to update this information or to stop such updates entirely without prior notice.

Past performance, simulations and forecasts shown or described in this publication do not constitute a reliable indicator of future performance.

The acceptance of provided research services by a securities services company can qualify as a benefit in supervisory law terms. In these cases LBBW assumes that the benefit is intended to improve the quality of the relevant service for the customer of the benefit recipient.

Additional Disclaimer for recipients in the United Kingdom: Authorised and regulated by the European Central Bank (ECB), Sonnemannstraße 22, 60314 Frankfurt/Main (Germany) and the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin), Graurheindorfer Str. 108, 53117 Bonn (Germany) / Marie-Curie-Str. 24-28, 60439 Frankfurt/Main (Germany). Deemed authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details of the Temporary Permissions Regime, which allows EEA-based firms to operate in the UK for a limited period while seeking full authorisation, are available on the Financial Conduct Authority's website.

The translation has been made for convenience only with the German original always prevailing in all respects, especially in case of potential discrepancies resulting from the translation.

Mar-a-Lago Accord as an extortion racket

A financial crisis could be the result.

To get connected on LinkedIn, scan QR-Code



